

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,133	06/25/2003	Paolo Fortina	CHOP.0182US	4015
110	7590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
DANN, DOF	RFMAN, HERRELL & S	JOHANNSEN	JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
1601 MARKE SUITE 2400	ET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HIA, PA 19103-2307	PA 19103-2307		

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/606,133	FORTINA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Diana B. Johannsen	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2004</u> .					
,	<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3)[
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
· _	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/606,133

Control Hambon 10,000, 10

Art Unit: 1634

Election/Restriction

1. A restriction is applied to pending claims 1-8 as follows:

Claims 1-8 encompass a multitude of different "genetic alterations" and combinations thereof -- see, specifically, claim 2, reciting the inversion, deletion, duplication, and insertion of nucleotides, as well as claims 4-5 and 8, which encompass the "single copy loss" of a multitude of different single nucleotide polymorphisms and combinations thereof which are set forth in Figure 12. Each such "genetic alteration" or combination of alterations possesses different structural and functional characteristics. The combinations are not, e.g., obvious variants that may be substituted one for the other, and a reference teaching one such alteration or combination would not anticipate or render obvious another such alteration or combination. Thus, the detection of each such genetic alteration/combination constitutes a distinct invention. Further, a search of more than one such alteration/combination would impose a serious burden, as each alteration/combination would require a search for a molecule with a different sequence and structure. Accordingly, Applicant is required to elect an alteration or combination thereof from those set forth in dependent claims 2, 4-5, and 8.

This is not an election of species. Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to the elected alteration/combination.

2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and require different searches that are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,133

Art Unit: 1634

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/606,133

Art Unit: 1634

571/272-0744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached at 571/272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Diana B. Johannsen
Primary Examiner
3/6/06